Case 1:07-cv-04268-AKH Document	1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 1 of 11		
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK			
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION	21 MC 100 (AKH)		
KEVIN ALLEN AND JERILLYN ALLEN	DOCKET NO.		
Plaintiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHORT FORM") COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE		
- against - A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,	MASTER COMPLAINT PLAINTIFF(S) DEMAND A TRIAL BY JURY		
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,			
Defendants.			
By Order of the Honorable Alvin K. Hellerstein, United States District Judge, dated June 22, 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Complaints for all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006.			
NOTICE (OF ADOPTION		
All headings and paragraphs in the Master Complaint are applicable to and are adopted by the instant $Paintiff(s)$ as if fully set forth herein in addition to those paragraphs specific to the individual $Paintiff(s)$, which are listed below. These are marked with an ' \square '' if applicable to the instant $Paintiff(s)$, and specific case information is set forth, as needed, below.			
Plaintiffs, KEVIN ALLEN AND JERILLYN GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, com	•		
I. PARTIES A. PLAINTIFF(S)			

citizen		✓ Plaintiff, KEVIN York residing at 5 Na	`		3	, , ,	ndividual and a
		C	(OR)			
	2.	Alternatively, □		is the	(of Decedent	
		, and brings this c	laim in his (her) capacity a	s of the Est	ate of	•

Ca	ise 1:07-cv-04268-AKH Documen	t 1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 2 of 11
3. residing at 5 li Plaintiff:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	er the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of New York 77-, and has the following relationship to the Injured
	KEVIN ALLEN, and brings t	herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff his derivative action for her (his) loss due to the and (his wife), Plaintiff KEVIN ALLEN.
		Other:
4. EMT worker	<u> </u>	002 the Injured Plaintiff worked for FDNY EMS as a
4	Please be as specific as possible when fi	illing in the following dates and locations
======= ✓ The World	d Trade Center Site	□ The Barge
Location(s) (i.e., building, quadrant, etc.)	From on or about until;
From on or about _9/11/2001_ until _4/1/2002_; Approximately _16_ hours per day; for Approximately _348_ days total.		Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.
		☐ Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at
☐ The New	York City Medical Examiner's Office	Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured
	bout, until,	plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total
Approximate	ly hours per day; for ly days total.	days, and for the employer, as specified below:
	Kills Landfill	From on or about until;
	bout;	Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total;
	ly hours per day; for ly days total.	Name and Address of Non-WTC Site
		Building/Worksite:
	*	aper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify rate sheet of paper with the information.
5.	Injured Plaintiff	
	✓ Was exposed to and breathed above;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated
	✓ Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;	r ingested toxic substances and particulates on all
	✓ Was exposed to and absorbed the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.	

Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to
§405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☑ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
✓ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on 11/2/06 and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	<u>INC</u> .
✓ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	✓ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
✓ More than thirty days have passed and	HAULING, LLC, INC.
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
(OR)	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	CORPORATION
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	INC.
determination	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
☐ is pending	CORP
☐ Granting petition was made on	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
——————————————————————————————————————	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP ☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☑ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC. ☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
✓ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on 11/2/06	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
✓ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
adjusted this claim	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
✓ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
=======================================	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
☐ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
□ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
□ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	CORP
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
□ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	✓ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
□ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
□ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
□ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	✓ EN-TECH CORP
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	□EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☑ ROBERT L GEROSA, INC

✓ RODAR ENTERPRISES, INC.

☑ ROYAL GM INC.

☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

☑ WSP CANTOR SEINUK GROUP ☑ YANNUZZI & SONS INC

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

☐ OTHER:

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	· ·
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:07-cv-04268-AKH Document 1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

Stabil remov	pility, and asserts each element necessary to est	urisdiction the U.S.C. SOF or name of the content	iction, (or); Other (specify): Court has already determined that it has 2. § 1441. ACTION d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive
	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	\	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
✓	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ✓ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff

Other: _

Case 1:07-cv-04268-AKH Document 1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:			Cardiovascular Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
V	Respiratory Injury: Cough; Respiratory Problems Date of onset: 6/1/2004 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date		V	Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 6/1/2004 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
	Digestive Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:		V	Other Injury: Alcoholism; Depression; Eye Problems; Fatigue; and Psychological problems Date of onset: 6/1/2004 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
	NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an exhau	ısti	ive list	of injuries that may be alleged.

2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable

damages: \checkmark Pain and suffering \checkmark Loss of the enjoyment of life \checkmark Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity \checkmark Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits \checkmark Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation \checkmark Other: ✓ Mental anguish **☑** Disability ✓ Medical monitoring ✓ Other: Not yet determined.

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York March 5, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Kevin Allen and Jerillyn Allen

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of

perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the

plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other

than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief

are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the

file.

DATED: New York, New York

March 5, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket No:	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
	KEVIN ALLEN (AND WIFE, JERILLYN ALLEN),
	Plaintiff(s) - against -
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
	Defendant(s).
=======	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700
	To Attorney(s) for
	Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted.
	Attorney(s) for
	SE TAKE NOTICE: FICE OF ENTRY
	that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20
	that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at on 20 at M. Dated, Yours, etc., WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP